arXiv:1408.0172v1 [hep-ph] 1 Aug 2014

Radiative and Seesaw Threshold Corrections to the S_3 Symmetric Neutrino Mass Matrix

Shivani Gupta [a] and C. S. Kim [b]

Department of Physics and IPAP, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

Pankaj Sharma [c]

Korea Institute of Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea

Abstract

We systematically analyze the radiative corrections to the S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix at high energy scale, say the GUT scale, in the charged lepton basis. There are significant corrections to the neutrino parameters both in the Standard Model (SM) and Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) with large tanβ, when the renormalization group evolution (RGE) and seesaw threshold effects are taken into consideration. We find that in the SM all three mixing angles and atmospheric mass squared difference are simultaneously obtained in their current 3σ ranges at the electroweak scale. However, the solar mass squared difference is found to be larger than its allowed 3σ range at the low scale in this case. There are significant contributions to neutrino masses and mixing angles in the MSSM with large tanβ from the RGEs even in the absence of seesaw threshold corrections. However, we find that the mass squared differences and the mixing angles are obtained in their current 3σ ranges at low energy when the seesaw threshold effects are also taken into account in the MSSM with large tanβ.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 14.60.St

[a] shivani@yonsei.ac.kr

[b] cskim@yonsei.ac.kr

[c] pankajs@kias.re.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

The neutrino oscillation experiments have enriched our knowledge of masses and mixings of neutrinos and thus flavor structure of leptons. These developments aspire theorists to construct models for unraveling the symmetries of lepton mass matrices. For three neutrino flavors the solar and atmospheric mass squared differences are given as, $\Delta m^2_{12} \approx 10^{-5}$ eV^2 and $|\Delta m_{13}|^2 \approx 10^{-3}$ eV^2, where $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_j - m^2_i$ and $m_{i,j}$ are the mass eigenvalues of neutrinos, respectively. With the evidence of nonzero value of reactor mixing angle θ_{13} [1] we now have information of all three mixing angles contrary to earlier studies where only an upper bound on θ_{13} existed. The lepton flavor mixing matrix comprising of three mixing angles and a CP violating phase is given as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Here $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}, s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$; θ_{ij} are the three mixing angles, δ_{CP} is the Dirac CP phase. There are two additional CP phases if neutrinos are Majorana particles. The best fit values along with their 3σ ranges of neutrino oscillation parameters [2] are shown in Table I. Dirac phase δ_{CP}, which contributes to CP violation in the leptonic sector, is expected to be measured in the long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments. The strength of this leptonic CP violation is parameterized by Jarlskog rephasing invariant [3] $J = c_{12}s_{12}c_{23}s_{23}c^2_{13}s_{13}\sin\delta_{CP}$. The two Majorana phases, however, contribute to the lepton number violating processes like neutrinoless double beta decay. The possible measurement of effective Majorana mass in the current and upcoming experiments like GERDA, EXO, CUORE, MAJORANA, SuperNEMO [4] will provide some additional constraints on the two Majorana phases and neutrino mass scale. The cosmological constraint on the sum of neutrino masses by the Planck Collaboration [5] is $\Sigma m_{\nu_i} <$0.23 eV at 95% C.L.. Depending on the values chosen for the priors this sum can be in the range $(0.23-0.933)$ eV. An additional bound from the Solar Pole Telescope Collaboration [6] gives $\Sigma m_{\nu_i} = 0.32\pm$ 0.11 eV. However, these bounds are expected to be tested in forthcoming observations. There are some challenges left namely to determine the absolute mass scale, mass hierarchy of neutrinos and the CP violation in leptonic sector amongst others.

Evidence for nonzero θ_{13} has lead to many studies for the deviation from the assumed

Parameter	Best fit	3σ
$\Delta m^2_{12}/10^{-5}$ eV^2 (NH or IH)	7.54	6.99 – 8.18
$\Delta m^2_{13}/10^{-3}$ eV^2 (NH)	2.43	2.19 – 2.62
θ_{12}	33.64°	30.59° – 36.8°
θ_{13}	8.93°	7.47° –10.19°
θ_{23}	37.34°	35.1° – 52.95°

TABLE I. The experimental constraints on neutrino parameters taken from [2].

symmetries that predict the vanishing θ_{13} value. Among many possible discrete flavor symmetries to produce the current data, S_3 has been extensively studied in literature [7]. It is the smallest discrete non-Abelian group which is the permutation of three objects. Perturbations to S_3 symmetric leptonic mass matrices have been used to study the mass spectra of the leptons and predict well known democratic [8] and tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing scenario [9]. The effective light neutrino mass matrix, M_ν, invariant under S_3 is given as

$$M_\nu = pI + qD, \tag{2}$$

where

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Here D is democratic matrix, p and q are in general complex parameters. There are quite a few studies about the breaking of S_3 symmetry in the leptonic sector [10–12] to produce the current observed neutrino oscillation data. It will be interesting to study order of breaking in the S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix that arise due to the RGE and the seesaw threshold corrections between the GUT scale Λ_g and the electroweak scale Λ_{ew} in the SM and MSSM. In the light of non zero θ_{13} our main aim is to study the viability of producing the current neutrino oscillation data at Λ_{ew} scale in an S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix M_ν at Λ_g when both radiative and seesaw threshold corrections are taken into account.

Earlier studies have shown that there are significant RGE corrections to neutrino masses and mixing angles particularly for quasi-degenerate neutrino spectrum in the MSSM with large tanβ. The SM is extended by three heavy right handed neutrinos at high energy scale to generate neutrino masses in Type I seesaw mechanism [13]. The seesaw threshold corrections arise due to subsequent decoupling of these heavy right handed Majorana neutrinos at their respective masses. The structure of the Dirac mass matrix M_D is proportional to the neutrino Yukawa coupling matrix Y_ν. We take a general Y_ν and scan the parameter space to obtain the desired mixing pattern. The right handed Majorana mass matrix M is found by inverting the Type I seesaw formula at Λ_g. The charged lepton mass matrix M_l at Λ_g is taken to be diagonal. We study the running behavior of neutrino masses and mixings from Λ_g down to Λ_{ew} using the RGE for the Yukawa couplings in the S_3 symmetric M_ν both in the SM and MSSM. Above the heaviest seesaw scale (M_3) there is a full theory and thus RGEs for Yukawa couplings Y_e, Y_ν and mass matrix M are considered. However, since our right handed neutrino mass matrix M is hierarchical ($M_1 < M_2 < M_3$), we also consider the seesaw threshold effects and thus the respective set of effective theories in between these scales, arising from the subsequent decoupling of heavy right handed fields at their respective masses. In the SM we find that the atmospheric mass squared difference (Δm^2_{13}) along with the neutrino mixing angles are generated in their present 3σ ranges at the low energy scale. However, the solar mass squared difference (Δm^2_{12}) is greater than its allowed value ($\approx 10^{-4}$) in the SM. We find that it is possible to radiatively generate the current neutrino masses and mixing angles from the S_3 invariant neutrino mass matrix M_ν in the charged lepton basis, when the seesaw threshold effects are taken into account in the MSSM with large tanβ. In the MSSM with large tanβ the solar mass squared difference Δm^2_{12} can be produced in its current range along with the other neutrino oscillation parameters at Λ_{ew} in the presence of these threshold corrections.

In section II we give the form of lepton mass matrices considered at Λ_g. In the subsequent section, we give the RGE equations governing from Λ_g to Λ_{ew}, in presence of the seesaw threshold effects both in the SM and MSSM. In section IV we study the order of corrections to the neutrino mass matrix in the presence of seesaw threshold effects. Section V gives our numerical results for both cases under consideration. We conclude in the last section.

II. FORM OF LEPTON MASS MATRICES AT THE GUT SCALE

We consider the basis where charged lepton mass matrix (M_l) is diagonal and the effective light neutrino mass matrix (M_ν) is S_3 symmetric as given in Eq. (2). The Yukawa coupling matrix for charged leptons is given as

$$Y_e = \frac{1}{v}\begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where the Higgs vacuum expectation value (VEV) v is taken to be 246 GeV in the SM and $246\cdot\cos\beta$ GeV in the MSSM. The Yukawa coupling matrix Y_ν for the light neutrinos is taken of the form $Y_\nu = y_\nu U_\nu D$ as given in [14] where D is the diagonal matrix Diag$(r_1, r_2, 1)$. The three parameters y_ν, r_1 and r_2 are real, positive dimensionless parameters that characterize eigenvalues of Y_ν. The unitary matrix U_ν is the product of the three rotation matrices $R_{23}(\vartheta_2)\cdot R_{13}(\vartheta_3 e^{-i\delta})\cdot R_{12}(\vartheta_1)$ having one CP violating phase δ. Thus, Y_ν has seven unknown parameters viz. three eigenvalues, three mixing angles and one CP phase. We vary the three hierarchy (y_ν, r_1, r_2) parameters and, though they are completely arbitrary, but assumed to be $< \mathcal{O}(1)$. Three angles ϑ_1, ϑ_2, ϑ_3 and δ are varied in the range of (0-2π).

The right handed mass matrix M is found by inverting the Type I seesaw formula as

$$M = -Y_\nu M_\nu^{-1} Y_\nu^T, \tag{5}$$

i.e. M in our study is found from Y_ν and the S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix M_ν at Λ_g by inverting seesaw formula. The three right handed neutrino masses M_1, M_2 and M_3 are obtained by diagonalizing the right handed Majorana mass matrix M. The light neutrino mass matrix M_ν can be diagonalized by the unitary transformation R as $R^T M_\nu R$. One of the possible form of R can be

$$R = U_{TBM} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The mass eigenvalues of M_ν are p, $p+3q$ and p: corresponding to the light neutrino masses m_1, m_2 and m_3, respectively. Due to the degeneracy in the mass eigenvalues m_1 and m_3, the diagonalizing matrix R is not unique. Degeneracy of masses implies that R is arbitrary up to

orthogonal transformation $R_{13}(\phi)$, where ϕ is in 1-3 plane. Thus, most general diagonalizing matrix R is $U_{TBM}R_{13}(\phi)$, which implies the same physics as U_{TBM}. In this work we set ϕ=0 without loss of generality [10, 12]. From the neutrino oscillation data we know $\Delta m^2_{12} \approx 10^{-5}$ and thus, there is small difference in the mass eigenvalues m_1 and m_2 which is a possible objection to this scenario of S_3 invariant approximation as here m_1 and m_3 are degenerate. The possible solution to this problem is given in [10, 12] where the complex numbers p and $p+3q$ are considered to have same magnitude but different directions. As shown in [10], q can be chosen completely imaginary and p is taken to be $|p|e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. The magnitudes of p and q can be written in terms of parameter x as

$$\begin{aligned} |p| &= x\ sec\frac{\alpha}{2}, \\ |q| &= \frac{2}{3}x\ tan\frac{\alpha}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where x is a real free parameter and allowed range of α is $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$. The magnitude of p and $p+3q$ can be made equal by adjusting the phase α. The parameter x vanishes when α=180° and thus this value is disallowed. Substituting the values of p and q given in Eq. (7), the magnitudes of the mass eigenvalues are given as

$$|m_1| = |m_2| = |m_3| = x\ sec\frac{\alpha}{2}. \tag{8}$$

This results in equal magnitude of all three mass eigenvalues and thus a degenerate spectrum of neutrinos to begin with at Λ_g. As pointed out earlier in [10] that the phase α affects the rate of neutrinoless double beta decay but will not affect neutrino oscillation parameters. Thus, this phase is of Majorana type. When we run these masses from Λ_g to highest seesaw scale M_3, the degeneracy of the mass eigenvalues is lifted by RGE corrections. We consider the normal hierarchical spectrum of masses where m_1 is the lowest mass. The other two masses are given as $m_2 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m^2_{12}}$ and $m_3 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m^2_{13}}$. Since the three mass eigenvalues at Λ_g have equal magnitude the two mass squared differences are vanishing to begin with. Once the degeneracy of three mass eigenvalues is lifted, their non zero values are generated. In subsequent sections we will explore the generation of the solar and atmospheric mass squared differences, together with the three mixing angles in their current 3σ limit at Λ_{ew} through the radiative corrections from S_3 invariant neutrino mass matrix at Λ_g.

III. RGE EQUATIONS WITH SEESAW THRESHOLD EFFECTS

In Type I seesaw the SM is extended by introducing three heavy right handed neutrinos and keeping the Lagrangian of electroweak interactions invariant under $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge transformation. In this case, the leptonic Yukawa terms of the Lagrangian are written as

$$-\mathcal{L}_\nu = \bar{l}_e \phi Y_e e_R + \bar{l}_e \tilde{\phi} Y_\nu \nu_R + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nu_R^c} M \nu_R + h.c.. \tag{9}$$

Here ϕ is the SM Higgs doublet and $\tilde{\phi} = i\sigma^2\phi^*$. e_R and ν_R are right handed charged lepton and neutrino singlets, Y_e and Y_ν are the Yukawa coupling matrices for charged leptons and Dirac neutrinos, respectively. The last term of Eq. (9) is the Majorana mass term for the right handed neutrinos.

Quite intensive studies have been done in literatures [15, 16] regarding the general features of RGE of neutrino parameters. At the energy scale below seesaw threshold *i.e.* when all the heavy particles are integrated out, the RGE of neutrino masses and mixing angles is described by the effective theory which is same for various seesaw models. But above the seesaw scale full theory has to be considered and thus, there can be significant RGE effects due to the interplay of heavy and light sector. The RGE equations and subsequent decoupling of heavy fields at their respective scales are elegantly given in Refs. [17–19]. The comprehensive study of the RGE and seesaw threshold corrections to various mixing scenarios is recently done in [20].

The effective neutrino mass matrix M_ν above M_3 is given as

$$M_\nu(\mu) = -\frac{v^2}{2} Y_\nu^T(\mu) M^{-1}(\mu) Y_\nu(\mu), \tag{10}$$

where $v = 246 \cdot \sin\beta$ GeV in the MSSM and μ is the renormalization scale. Y_ν and M are μ dependent. Since we study the evolution of leptonic mixing parameters from Λ_g to Λ_{ew} scale in a generic seesaw model we need to take care of the series of effective theories that arise by subsequent decoupling of the heavy right handed fields M_i (i =1,2,3) at their respective mass thresholds. The Yukawa couplings Y_ν and M are dependent on the energy scale Λ. At the GUT scale we consider the full theory and the one loop RGEs for Y_e, Y_ν and M are given as

$$\dot{Y_e} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} Y_e \left[\alpha_e + C_1 H_e + C_2 H_\nu\right],$$

$$\dot{Y_\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} Y_\nu \left[\alpha_\nu + C_3 H_e + C_4 H_\nu\right],$$
$$\dot{M} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} C_5 \left[(Y_\nu Y_\nu^\dagger) M + M (Y_\nu Y_\nu^\dagger)^T\right], \tag{11}$$

where $\dot{Y_i} = \frac{dY_i}{dt}$ (i=e, ν), t= $ln(\mu/\mu_0)$ with $\mu(\mu_0)$ being the running (fixed) scale, and $H_i = Y_i^\dagger Y_i$ ($i = e, \nu$). The coefficients are $C_1 = \frac{3}{2}, C_2 = -\frac{3}{2}, C_3 = -\frac{3}{2}, C_4 = \frac{3}{2}, C_5 = 1$ in the SM and $C_1 = 3, C_2 = 1, C_3 = 1, C_4 = 3, C_5 = 2$ in the MSSM, respectively. The expressions for α_e and α_ν in the SM and MSSM are explicitly given as

$$\alpha_{e(SM)} = Tr(3H_u + 3H_d + H_e + H_\nu) - (\frac{9}{4} g_1^2 + \frac{9}{4} g_2^2),$$
$$\alpha_{\nu(SM)} = Tr(3H_u + 3H_d + H_e + H_\nu) - (\frac{9}{20} g_1^2 + \frac{9}{4} g_2^2),$$
$$\alpha_{e(MSSM)} = Tr(3H_d + H_e) - (\frac{9}{5} g_1^2 + 3 g_2^2),$$
$$\alpha_{\nu(MSSM)} = Tr(3H_u + H_\nu) - (\frac{3}{5} g_1^2 + 3 g_2^2), \tag{12}$$

where $g_{1,2}$ are the $U(1)_Y$ and $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling constants.

The heavy right handed mass matrix M obtained from Eq. (5) is non diagonal and thus is diagonalized by the unitary transformation U_R as

$$U_R^T M U_R = Diag(M_1, M_2, M_3). \tag{13}$$

The Yukawa coupling Y_ν is accordingly transformed as $Y_\nu U_R^*$. At the highest seesaw scale M_3, the effective operator $\kappa_{(3)}$ is given by the matching condition as

$$\kappa_{(3)} = 2 Y_\nu^T M_3^{-1} Y_\nu, \tag{14}$$

in the basis where M is diagonal. The Yukawa coupling Y_ν above is a 3×3 matrix and all the variables are set to the scale M_3. At the scale lower than M_3 ($\mu < M_3$) the effective neutrino mass matrix M_ν is given as

$$M_\nu = -\frac{v^2}{4} \{\kappa_{(3)} + 2 Y_{\nu(3)}^T M_{(3)}^{-1} Y_{\nu(3)}\}. \tag{15}$$

As can be seen that M_ν is the sum of $\kappa_{(3)}$ given in Eq. (14) and the seesaw factor which is obtained after decoupling M_3. Thus, $Y_{\nu(3)}$ is 2×3 and $M_{(3)}$ is 2×2 mass matrices. RGE between the scale M_3 and M_2 is governed by the running of $\kappa_{(3)}$, $Y_{\nu(3)}$ and $M_{(3)}$. The running of $\kappa_{(3)}$ is given as

$$\dot{\kappa}_{(3)(SM)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[(C_3 H_e + C_6 H_{\nu(3)})^T \kappa_{(3)} + \kappa_{(3)} (C_3 H_e + C_6 H_{\nu(3)}) + \alpha_{(3)} \kappa_{(3)}\right], \tag{16}$$

$$\dot{\kappa}_{(3)(MSSM)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left[(H_e + C_6 H_{\nu(3)})^T \kappa_{(3)} + \kappa_{(3)}(H_e + C_6 H_{\nu(3)}) + \alpha_{(3)}\kappa_{(3)}\right],$$

where $C_6 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $H_{\nu(3)} = Y^{\dagger}_{\nu(3)} Y_{\nu(3)}$. $\alpha_{(3)}$ in the SM and MSSM is explicitily given as

$$\alpha_{(3)(SM)} = 2\ Tr(3H_d + 3H_u + H_e + H_{\nu(3)}) - 3g_2^2 + \lambda,$$
$$\alpha_{(3)(MSSM)} = 2\ Tr(3H_u + H_{\nu(3)}) - \frac{6}{5}g_1^2 - 6g_2^2.$$

The effective operator $\kappa_{(2)}$ at M_2 is given by the matching condition as

$$\kappa_{(2)} = \kappa_{(3)} + 2Y^T_{\nu(2)} M^{-1}_{(2)} Y_{\nu(2)}. \tag{17}$$

where all the parameters are set to seesaw scale M_2. M_ν at the scale below M_2 has the RGE equation given in Eq. (16). In their RGE expression we have $Y_{\nu(2)}$ which is 1×3 and $M_{(2)}$ which is 1×1. The low energy effective theory operator $\kappa_{(1)}$ is obtained after integrating out all three heavy right handed fields. The one loop RGE for $\kappa_{(1)}$ from lowest seesaw scale M_1 down to Λ_e scale is given as

$$\dot{\kappa}_{(1)} = (C_3 H_e^T)\kappa_{(1)} + \kappa_{(1)}(C_3 H_e) + \alpha\kappa_{(1)}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\alpha = 2\ Tr(3H_u + 3H_d + H_e) - 3g_2^2 + \lambda \ \text{ in the SM}, \tag{19}$$
$$\alpha = 2\ Tr(3H_u) - \frac{6}{5}g_1^2 - 6g_2^2 \ \text{ in the MSSM}.$$

When the Higgs field gets VEV, the light neutrino mass matrix is obtained from $\kappa_{(1)}$ as $M_\nu = \frac{\kappa_{(1)} v^2}{4}$. We diagonalize M_ν to obtain neutrino masses, mixing angles and CP phases.

IV. NEUTRINO MASSES AND MIXINGS

The RGE above the highest seesaw scale M_3 depends on more parameters than below the lowest seesaw scale M_1 due to presence of the neutrino Yukawa couplings (Y_ν). The RGE equations consist of H_e, M and H_ν out of which latter can be large. In the basis where charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal, M_ν at two different energy scales Λ_{ew} and Λ_g are homogeneously related as [18, 21, 22]

$$M_\nu^{\Lambda_{ew}} = I_K \cdot I^T \cdot M_\nu^{\Lambda_g} \cdot I. \tag{20}$$

Here I_K is flavor independent factor arising from gauge interactions and fermion antifermion loops. It does not influence the mixing angles. The matrix I has the form

$$\begin{aligned} I &= Diag(e^{-\Delta_e}, e^{-\Delta_\mu}, e^{-\Delta_\tau}), \\ &\backsimeq Diag(1-\Delta_e, 1-\Delta_\mu, 1-\Delta_\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta^2_{e,\mu,\tau}), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$\Delta_j = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\int [3(H_j) - (H_{\nu_j})]dt, \tag{22}$$

where $j = e, \mu, \tau$. Numerically, Δ^{SM}_τ can be of the order of 10^{-3} when $Y_\tau \sim .01$ and $Y_\nu = 0.2$ and the scale μ and μ_0 are 10^{12} and 10^2, respectively. In the MSSM, Δ^{MSSM}_τ $\sim 10^{-3}(1+\tan^2\beta)$ for the same values of Y_ν and Y_τ. In the absence of seesaw threshold effects, Δ_τ is small $\approx 10^{-5}$ in the SM for the above mentioned values of Y_τ.

At above seesaw scale appreciable deviations may occur only for large values of Y_τ or Y_ν. In the absence of seesaw threshold effects *i.e.* when there is no H_ν term in Eq. (22) the radiative corrections are governed by Δ_τ term as $\Delta_{e,\mu}$ is too small. On the other hand, due to the presence of large H_ν, the seesaw threshold effects play a crucial role in the running of mixing angles. Below the seesaw scales, deviations are obtained from $Y_\tau \sim \sqrt{2}m_\tau/v$ $\approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ in the SM, and $\sim \frac{\sqrt{2}m_\tau}{v\cos\beta}$ in the MSSM. There can be significant deviations in the MSSM with large $\tan\beta$ which enhances Y_τ. In the presence of seesaw threshold, appreciable deviations are possible as it is natural to have $Y_\nu \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. The analytic expressions for the running neutrino mixing angles, masses and CP phases are quite long and have been earlier derived in literatures [19, 21, 23]. As shown earlier in [16, 24], the mass squared differences in the denominator of the RGEs play a significant role in the evolution of neutrino mixing angles and phases, if the left-handed neutrinos are nearly degenerate.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

At the GUT scale Λ_g we have seven free parameters in Y_ν and two free parameters α and x in M_ν. The three mixing angles in ϑ_1, ϑ_2 and ϑ_3 and phase δ are allowed to take the values in the range (0–2π). The hierarchy parameters y_ν, r_1, r_2 and x are randomly varied and are expected to be $< \mathcal{O}(1)$. The physical range of phase α is from (0–π). The mass spectrum at the high scale is degenerate and thus we have vanishing solar and atmospheric mass squared difference to begin with. The parameter space at the Λ_g with which the low energy neutrino

Parameters	SM	MSSM
Input		
r_1	7×10^{-3}	3×10^{-4}
r_2	0.33	0.34
δ	158.1°	$96.3\circ$
y_ν	0.72	0.49
θ_1	225.2°	188.5°
θ_2	244.6°	245.8°
θ_3	345.5°	241.7°
$x(eV)$	7.46×10^{-2}	1.9×10^{-3}
α	102.5°	170.2°
Output		
$m_1(eV)$	9.4×10^{-2}	1.47×10^{-2}
θ_{12}	34.3°	35.2°
θ_{13}	7.88°	9.85°
θ_{23}	47.7°	47.4°
$\Delta m^2_{12}(eV^2)$	4.18×10^{-4}	7.14×10^{-5}
$\Delta m^2_{13}(eV^2)$	2.48×10^{-3}	2.35×10^{-3}
M_1 (GeV)	3.1×10^{5}	2.86×10^{3}
M_2 (GeV)	4.43×10^{8}	9×10^{8}
M_3 (GeV)	2.83×10^{9}	4.7×10^{9}
J	-2.99×10^{-2}	-3.87×10^{-2}
$\lvert m_{ee}\rvert(eV)$	9.2×10^{-2}	1.03×10^{-2}

TABLE II. Numerical values of input and output parameters that are radiatively generated via the RGE and seesaw threshold effects both in the SM and MSSM. The input parameters are taken at $\Lambda_g = 2\times10^{16}$ GeV and tanβ=55 in the MSSM.

data is obtained at the Λ_{ew} is illustrated in Table II. The set of input parameters in that particular parameter space are also given in the table. We choose the set of parameters in parameter space at the high scale for which maximum value of θ_{13} is obtained and the other mixing angles and mass squared differences are simultaneously obtained in current 3σ range at Λ_{ew}. However, the parameter space under consideration is only for illustration and not unique. Search for complete parameter space is an elaborate study and thus independent future work.

A. Radiative Threshold corrections in the SM

Study of radiative corrections to S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix can be divided into three regions that are governed by different RGE equations. The first region is above the highest seesaw scale M_3 to Λ_g, where there can be considerable contribution of Y_ν. The second region is the region between the three seesaw scales and the third is below the lowest seesaw scale M_1, where all heavy fields are decoupled. The solar mixing angle θ_{12} can have large RGE corrections as the running is enhanced by the factor proportional to $\frac{m^2}{\Delta m^2_{12}}$ at the leading order, which can be large for degenerate spectrum. The RGE is comparatively small for other two mixing angles θ_{23} and θ_{13}, where the RGE is proportional to $\frac{m^2}{\Delta m^2_{13}}$. However, for the degenerate neutrino mass spectrum there can be considerable corrections for these mixing angles, too. Below the seesaw scale the RGE corrections to the mixing angles in the SM are negligible as they get contributions only from Y_τ. In Fig 1, we show the RGE corrections to the mixing angles and masses in the SM for the set of input parameters given in second column of Table II. Fig. 1 shows that below M_1 scale there is no significant corrections to the mixing angles. Below the lowest seesaw scale the running of the mass eigenvalues is significant even in the SM for degenerate as well as hierarchical neutrinos [16] due to the factor α given in Eq. (19), which is much larger than Y_τ^2. The running of masses is given by a common scaling of the mass eigenvalues [25]. Clearly, the RGE of each mass eigenvalue is proportional to the mass eigenvalue itself. The running of masses in Fig. 1 can be seen to start from the degenerate values of masses at Λ_g and there are significant corrections to the masses below M_1. Earlier analyses [24] studied the successful generation of mass squared differences and mixing angles for degenerate neutrinos in the SM. In their analysis it is shown that the generation of mass squared differences is very sensitive

to the value of $\sin^2\theta_{12}$, which should be greater than 0.99 to fit the mass squared differences simultaneously with the consistent angles. This limit is, however, completely ruled out by the current oscillation data. The degeneracy of three mass eigenvalues is lifted by the RGE running from Λ_g to M_3. Potentially significant breaking of neutrino mass degeneracy is provided by the RGE effects. The seesaw threshold effects in addition increase the mass splitting between the masses m_2 and m_3 required to fit the masses with the current data in



FIG. 1. The RGE of the mixing angles, masses and mass squared differences between the GUT scale Λ_g and the electroweak scale Λ_{ew} in the SM. The initial values of the parameters are given in the second column of Table II. The boundaries of three grey shaded areas, *i.e.* dark, medium and light denote the points when heavy right handed singlets M_3, M_2 and M_1 are integrated out respectively.

terms of mass squared differences.

Running between and above the seesaw scales is modified by the contribution of Yukawa couplings Y_ν. The contribution of Y_ν in the RGE can result all the three mixing angles in their current allowed ranges at the EW scale in the SM. Thus, there are significant corrections to mixing angles even in the SM in the presence of the seesaw threshold effects when there is exactly the degenerate mass spectrum to begin with. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the mixing angles at the GUT scale are $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$, $\theta_{12} = 35.3^\circ$ and $\theta_{13} = 0^\circ$. For the set of parameters given in the second column of Table II we get the mixing angles in the allowed range at the electroweak scale in the SM. θ_{23} is found to have values below maximality. The presence of seesaw threshold corrections and thus Y_ν in the RGE equations make this possible even in the SM, as can be seen in Fig. 1. The grey shaded area in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 illustrates the ranges of effective theories that emerge when we integrate out heavy right handed singlets. At each seesaw scales, *i.e.* M_1, M_2 and M_3, one heavy singlet is integrated out and thus (n-1)×3 sub-matrix of Y_ν remains. Therefore, the running behavior between these scales can be different from running behavior below or above these scales. Between these scales the neutrino mass matrix comprises of two terms $\kappa_{(n)}$ and $2Y^T_{\nu(n)}M^{-1}_{R(n)}Y_{\nu(n)}$, as given in Eq. (15). It is shown in [16] that in the SM these two terms between the thresholds are quite different which can give dominant contribution to the running of mixing angles in this region. Both θ_{12} and θ_{13} in Fig. 1 get large corrections between three seesaw scales. θ_{23} gets the deviation of $\approx 2.7^\circ$ in the upper direction.

Three neutrino mixing angles can be generated in their current allowed ranges starting from S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix at the Λ_g in the SM as there can be large corrections when we consider the seesaw threshold effects. In the SM, atmospheric mass squared difference Δm^2_{13} is generated within the current oscillation data limit ($\approx 2.48\times10^{-3}$) starting from the vanishing value at the Λ_g since all masses are degenerate, as seen from Fig. 1. The solar mass squared difference Δm^2_{12} of the order of $\approx 10^{-4}\text{eV}^2$ is simultaneously generated at the Λ_{ew}, as shown in Fig.1 which is larger than its present value. The byproduct of this analysis is the masses of right-handed neutrinos that are determined from Eqs. (5) and (13) and are not free parameters. The values of effective Majorana mass $|M_{ee}|$ and Jarlskog rephasing invariant (J) at the Λ_{ew} are also calculated for particular set of parameters given in Table II. We conclude that in the SM the RGE in addition to seesaw threshold effects cannot simultaneously produce the solar mass squared difference in its allowed range at low

scale along with the other neutrino oscillation parameters.

B. Radiative Threshold corrections in the MSSM

As stated earlier we divide the radiative corrections to S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix into three regions governed by different RGE equations in the MSSM. In the region below



FIG. 2. The RGE of the mixing angles, masses and mass squared differences between the GUT scale Λ_g and the electroweak scale Λ_{ew} in the MSSM with tanβ=55. The initial values of the parameters are given in the third column of Table II. The boundaries of three grey shaded areas, *i.e.* dark, medium and light denote the points when heavy right handed singlets M_3, M_2 and M_1 are integrated out respectively.

the lightest seesaw scale, for the SM and MSSM with small tanβ, Yukawa coupling $Y_\tau \sim 0.01$ is small, and thus there cannot be large RGE corrections in these cases. For large tanβ these corrections can be larger due to the presence of factor $Y_\tau^2(1+\tan^2\beta)$ in the MSSM. We show the RGE of mixing angles and masses in the MSSM with tanβ=55 in Fig. 2 for the set of input parameters given in third column of Table II. In the region above the energy scale M_1, we get contributions from another Yukawa coupling Y_ν which brings in more free parameters in the analysis. In the region for the MSSM with large tanβ the presence of seesaw threshold effects can enhance the RGE of the mixing angles significantly. As can be seen from Fig. 2, we can have all three mixing angles simultaneously in the current limit at the EW scale starting from S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix at the Λ_g. Fig. 2 shows that there are large corrections to θ_{13} ($\sim 9.85^\circ$) between the Λ_g and M_3 scale due to the presence of Y_ν. As mentioned earlier, the running of neutrino mass matrix between the seesaw thresholds gets contributions from two terms $\kappa_{(n)}$ and $2Y^T_{\nu(n)}M^{-1}_{R(n)}Y_{\nu(n)}$ given in Eq. (15). As shown in the SM, these two terms are different and thus results in the enhanced running between the different energy regimes. On the other hand, in the MSSM, as can be seen from Fig. 2, there are not much deviations in the mixing angles between the energy scales. It is because in the MSSM the two contributions are almost identical and thus cancel each other resulting in minimum deviation in those regions. The only significant corrections occurs in the region above the highest seesaw scale M_3 due to relatively large Y_ν.

The mixing angle θ_{12} does not have much corrections and θ_{23} receives the correction of 2.5° in the upper direction and is thus above maximal. The running of masses in the MSSM (Fig. 2) is much larger than the SM due to the presence of tanβ which in our case is large. The dominant effect, however, is the corrections in the range $M_3 \leq \mu \leq \Lambda_g$ where the flavor dependent terms (Y_l and Y_ν) can be large. The interesting dependence of α_ν (MSSM) and tanβ on the running contributions of flavor dependent terms is given in [16]. For large tanβ the contribution of Y_e and Y_ν become important. We also show the radiative corrections to the solar and atmospheric mass squared difference from the Λ_g to the Λ_{ew} for degenerate masses at the GUT scale in the MSSM with tanβ=55. To begin with both the mass squared differences are zero at the GUT scale. From the mass squared differences shown in Fig 2, we see that the RGE in combination with seesaw threshold corrections can result both mass squared differences in their current 3σ ranges at the low scale. The hierarchy parameters y_ν, r_1 and r_2 though arbitrary but are found to be $< \mathcal{O}(1)$. For given set of input parameters

in Table II, the value of effective Majorana mass $|M_{ee}|$ is $\approx 10^{-2}$eV and that of J is $\approx 3.87 \times 10^{-2}$. Thus, we find that it is possible to simultaneously obtain the neutrino oscillation parameters at the electroweak scale for S_3 mass matrix at the Λ_g in the MSSM with large tanβ.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the RGE corrections to the S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix in the presence of seesaw threshold corrections both in the SM and MSSM. In the absence of seesaw threshold effects there are negligible corrections to the mixing angles in the SM and MSSM with low tanβ. However, large corrections are possible in neutrino parameters once the seesaw threshold effects are taken into consideration both in the SM and MSSM. Above all seesaw scales there is the contribution of the Yukawa coupling, Y_ν in addition to Y_l and thus the RGE depends on more parameters than below the seesaw scales. Thus, we can say the corrections depend on the form of Y_ν which has free parameters. In the SM we found that the mixing angles can be obtained in their current 3σ range at the electroweak scale when we begin with S_3 neutrino mass matrix at the GUT scale Λ_g. The significant running occurs between and above the seesaw threshold scales. Below the lowest seesaw scale there are no significant corrections as the only contribution comes from Y_τ which is small. However, in this case of exactly equal magnitude of mass eigenvalues, the two mass squared difference are not simultaneously generated in the current range at the electroweak scale. The solar mass squared difference is found to be large ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$) in comparison to its allowed value at the electroweak scale.

There can be large radiative corrections in the MSSM with tanβ=55 when threshold effects are taken into consideration. The large corrections to the mixing angles occur at the scale above the seesaw threshold where the Yukawa coupling, Y_ν, is present and has large free parameters which can enhance running for large tanβ. All the mixing angles and the mass squared differences are obtained in their current 3σ range at the low scale in this case. The free input parameters y_ν, r_1 and r_2 are expected to be small $< \mathcal{O}(1)$. Thus, for the SM it is not possible to radiatively generate the solar mass squared difference at the electroweak scale in its current range. In the MSSM with large tanβ we can generate all the masses and mixing angles in the current allowed range at the electroweak scale, starting from exactly

degenerate mass spectrum at the GUT scale.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of C. S. K and S. G. is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by Korea government of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MEST) (Grant No. 2011-0017430) and (Grant No. 2011-0020333).

---

[1] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 041801 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ex]]; Y. Abe *et al.* [DOUBLE-CHOOZ Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 131801 (2012) [arXiv:1112.6353 [hep-ex]]; F. P. An *et al.* [DAYA-BAY Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 171803 (2012) [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]]; J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 191802 (2012) [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]].

[2] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo and A. M. Rotunno, *Phys. Rev.* D **86**(2012) 013012 [arXiv:hep-ph/1205.5254].

[3] C. Jarlskog, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 1039 (1985).

[4] A. A. Smolnikov [GERDA Collaboration], arXiv: 0812.4194 [nucl-ex]; M. Auger *et al.* [EXO Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 032505 (2012); http://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/gerda/; P. Gorla *et al.* [CUORE Collaboration], *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **375**, 042013 (2012) ; Wilkerson J. F *et al.* [MAJORANA Collaboration], *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **375**, 042010 (2012); Barabash A. S *et al.* [SuperNEMO Collaboration], *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **375**, 04201 (2012).

[5] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters*, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1303.5076].

[6] Z. Hou, C. L. Reichardt, K. T. Story, B. Follin, R. Keisler *et al.*, arXiv:1212.6267 [astro-ph.CO].

[7] S. Pakwasa, H. Sugawara, *Phys. Lett. B* **73**, 61 (1978); E. Derman, *Phys. Rev.* D 19, 317 (1979); E. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* D **61**, 033012 (2000) [hep-ph/9909249]; M. Tanimoto, *Phys. Lett.* B **483**, 417 (2000) [hep-ph/0001306]; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* B **557**, 76 (2003) [hep-ph/0302025]; S. -L. Chen, M. Frigerio and E. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* D **70**, 073008 (2004) [Erratum-ibid. D **70**, 079905 (2004)] [hep-ph/0404084]; F. Caravaglios and S. Morisi,

hep-ph/0503234; W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0508**, 013 (2005) [hep-ph/0504153]; R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and H. -B. Yu, *Phys. Lett.* B **639**, 318 (2006) [hep-ph/0605020]; N. Haba and K. Yoshioka, *Nucl. Phys.* B **739**, 254 (2006) [hep-ph/0511108]; Y. Koide, *Eur. Phys. J.* C **50**, 809 (2007) [hep-ph/0612058]; C. -Y. Chen and L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev.* D **77**, 093009 (2008) [arXiv:0709.3767 [hep-ph]]; F. Feruglio and Y. Lin, *Nucl. Phys.* B **800**, 77 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1528 [hep-ph]]; Y. H. Ahn, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim and T. P. Nguyen, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 093005 (2010) [arXiv:1004.3469 [hep-ph]]; D. A. Dicus, S. -F. Ge and W. W. Repko, *Phys. Rev.* D **82**, 033005 (2010) [arXiv:1004.3266 [hep-ph]]; Z. -z. Xing, D. Yang and S. Zhou, *Phys. Lett.* B **690**, 304 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4234 [hep-ph]]; D. Meloni, S. Morisi and E. Peinado, *J. Phys.* G **38**, 015003 (2011) [arXiv:1005.3482 [hep-ph]]; R. Jora, J. Schechter and M. N. Shahid, *Phys. Rev.* D **82**, 053006 (2010) [arXiv:1006.3307 [hep-ph]].

[8] H. Fritzsch and Z. -Z. Xing, *Phys. Lett.* B **372**, 265 (1996) [hep-ph/9509389]; M. Fukugita, M. Tanimoto and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Rev.* D **57**, 4429 (1998) [hep-ph/9709388]; H. Fritzsch and Z. -z. Xing, *Phys. Rev.* D **61**, 073016 (2000) [hep-ph/9909304].

[9] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* B **535**, 163 (2002) [hep-ph/0203209]; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* B **557**, 76 (2003) [hep-ph/0302025]; X. -G. He and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev.* D **68**, 037302 (2003) [hep-ph/0302201]; *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **109**, 795 (2003) [Erratum-ibid. **114**, 287 (2005)] [hep-ph/0302196].

[10] S. Dev, S. Gupta and R. R. Gautam, *Phys. Lett.* B **702**, 28 (2011) [arXiv:1106.3873 [hep-ph]]; S. Dev, R. R. Gautam and L. Singh, *Phys. Lett.* B **708**, 284 (2012) [arXiv:1201.3755 [hep-ph]].

[11] S. Zhou, *Phys. Lett.* B **704**, 291 (2011) [arXiv:1106.4808 [hep-ph]].

[12] R. Jora, S. Nasri and J. Schechter, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **21**, 5875 (2006) [hep-ph/0605069]; R. Jora, J. Schechter and M. Naeem Shahid, *Phys. Rev.* D **80**, 093007 (2009) [Erratum-ibid. D **82**, 079902 (2010)] [arXiv:0909.4414 [hep-ph]]; R. Jora, J. Schechter and M. N. Shahid, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **28**, 1350028 (2013) [arXiv:1210.6755 [hep-ph]].

[13] P. Minkowski, *Phys. Lett.* **B 67**, 421 (1977); T. Yanagida, (O.Sawada and A. Sugamoto, eds.), KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979, p. 95; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, (P. Van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979, p.315; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 912 (1980).

[14] J. -w. Mei and Z. -z. Xing, *Phys. Rev.* D **70**, 053002 (2004) [hep-ph/0404081].

[15] K. S. Babu, C. N. Leung and J. T. Pantaleone, *Phys. Lett.* **B 319**, 191 (1993) [arXiv:9309223 [hep-ph]]; S. Antusch, M. Drees, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett.* B **519**, 238 (2001) [hep-ph/0108005]; S. Antusch, M. Drees, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett.* B **525**, 130 (2002) [hep-ph/0110366]; S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett.* B **538**, 87 (2002) [hep-ph/0203233]; A. S. Joshipura, S. D. Rindani and N. N. Singh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 660**, 362 (2003) [hep-ph/0211378]; T. Ohlsson, H. Zhang and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 013012 (2013) [arXiv:1211.3153 [hep-ph]].

[16] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Nucl. Phys.* B **674**, 401 (2003) [hep-ph/0305273].

[17] P. H. Chankowski and Z. Pluciennik, *Phys. Lett.* B **316**, 312 (1993) [hep-ph/9306333]; S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz and M. A. Schmidt, *JHEP* **0503**, 024 (2005) [hep-ph/0501272]; T. Ohlsson and S. Zhou, arXiv:1311.3846 [hep-ph].

[18] J. R. Ellis and S. Lola, *Phys. Lett.* B **458**, 310 (1999) [hep-ph/9904279].

[19] J. -w. Mei, *Phys. Rev.* D **71**, 073012 (2005) [hep-ph/0502015].

[20] S. Gupta, S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, arXiv:1406.7476 [hep-ph].

[21] S. Goswami, S. Khan and S. Mishra, arXiv:1310.1468 [hep-ph].

[22] A. Dighe, S. Goswami and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Rev.* D **75**, 073023 (2007) [hep-ph/0612328]; A. Dighe, S. Goswami and P. Roy, *Phys. Rev.* D **76**, 096005 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3735 [hep-ph]].

[23] J. Bergstrom, M. Malinsky, T. Ohlsson and H. Zhang, *Phys. Rev.* D **81**, 116006 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4628 [hep-ph]]; J. Bergstrom, T. Ohlsson and H. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* B **698**, 297 (2011) [arXiv:1009.2762 [hep-ph]].

[24] J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, A. Ibarra and I. Navarro, *Nucl. Phys.* B **556**, 3 (1999) [hep-ph/9904395]; J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, A. Ibarra and I. Navarro, *Nucl. Phys.* B **569**, 82 (2000) [hep-ph/9905381].

[25] P. H. Chankowski and S. Pokorski, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **17**, 575 (2002) [hep-ph/0110249].